Needs identified for the RI Shellfish Management Plan

(Issues Identified at Restoration Scoping Session)

- 1) There is a need to improve shellfish management through increased sharing of information (24 individual/ 14 industry = 38 votes)
 - → Coordination between the DEM, CRMC, DOH, NOAA and other agencies; protocols for data sharing (11 individual/ 8 industry = 19 votes)
 - → Funding for NRCS Program (utilizes commercial aquaculturist's seed product) (5 individual/ 1 industry = 6 votes)
 - → Education component to demonstrate value/safety to the public (regarding restoration and oyster gardening) (4 individual/ 1 industry = 5 votes)
 - → Water quality definitions and consistency in management; why closures, etc better communication (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session) (1 individual/ 1 industry = 2 votes)
 - \rightarrow Reach down to K-12 in education (1 individual/ 1 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Local community education of regulations and science (1 individual/ 0 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Create use maps to address use conflicts, diggers to know where leases are located, etc. (0 individual/1 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Ensure industry and management share common goals "are on the same page"; understand needs of management and industry concurrently when managing resources (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session) (0 individual/ 1 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Communication fishermen send real-time observations to server or somehow keep track of their observations/ info seen on the water (1 individual/ 0 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Aquaculture as agriculture clarify, define
 - → Continued collaboration with Narragansett Bay Commission does good monitoring element
 - → Communicate ongoing, existing, and needed research
 - → Understanding differing needs of inshore and offshore aquaculture- in and out of Narragansett Bay, i.e. ponds vs. bays
 - → Industry-based surveys
 - → Better communicating closures (possible GIS tool?)
 - → Better signage for pollution closures (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
 - → DEM designated grow-out areas regarding aquaculture production how are they determined? What do they mean? (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
 - → Openness for changes in verbiage in the products of the Shellfish Management Plan Not "will" or "shall" ... rather use, "may" (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)

- → Emphasize diversity in the sense that this is not a "quahog-centric" project (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Engage the general public ensure public voice is heard who? How? (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Addressing diversity (multicultural aspect) of public (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)
- → Engage food distributors, dealers, towns, DOH etc. (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Involve dealers more; dealers input into winter harvest schedules (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Need for a Recreational harvest Scoping Session (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Knowledge transfer between generations (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Lack of recruitment (people) into shellfishing there is no next generation (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Fishermen's knowledge and expertise is considered AND compensated in this process how? (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Clarify goals of industry and management reasonable time frame (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Look at Puget Sound MP as example (maybe Baird Symposium, invite them to speak?) (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping session)
- → NOAA funding How to interface with the National Shellfish Initiative (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping session)

2) There is a need to identify the role economic valuation plays in shellfish management(8 individual/ 11 industry = 19 votes)

- → Develop facility in Jerusalem to support aquaculture in the state (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session can pertain to restoration and/or wild harvest as well) (1 individual/5 industry = 6 votes)
- → Cost/ Benefit Analysis Closed waters, use conflicts, best use of space (research) (3 individual/ 1 industry = 4 votes)
- \rightarrow Role of shellfish in ecotourism (1 individual/3 industry = 4 votes)
- → Public-private seed hatchery for RI (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session) (0 individual/1 industry = 1 votes) Hatchery to support restoration (2 individual/1 industry = 3 votes)
- → Value of recreational shellfishing in RI (research) (1 individual/0 industry = 1 votes)
- → Direct marketing of products

- → Role of shellfish in economic growth of RI
- → Address living wage
- → Value of species-specific fisheries (fisheries)
- → Cost/Benefit of doing shellfish stock relay out of restricted water (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)

3) There is a need to better understand the biological processes of our shellfish resources(16 individual/ 16 industry = 32 votes)

- → Seeding and transplants MOU for seeding; how to maximize seeding biomass (7 individual/ 3 industry = 10 votes)
- → Identify spawner sanctuaries based on environmental characteristics (1 individual/7 industry = 8 votes)
- → Substrate enhancement clutching (2 individual/3 industry = 5 votes)
- → **Disease resistance** (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session) (2 individual/ 1 industry = 3 votes)
- **→ Maintain genetic diversity of shellfish stocks** (0 individual/1 industry = 1 votes)
- → Whelks in general; also not currently covered in biotoxin closures (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session) (1 individual/0 industry = 1 votes)
- → Spatial management What scale are we operating on? Treat different areas differently; areas with size classes that have little/no value how to address (Issue identified at Wild harvest Scoping Session). (1 individual/0 industry = 1 votes)
- → Shellfish stock assessment at a reasonable scalar level (research) (1 individual/ 0 industry = 1 votes)
- → Concerns about using wild stock for aquaculture (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session) (1 individual/ 0 industry = 1 votes)
- → Develop baselines for the evaluation of restoration projects (research) historic high as baseline? Is this realistic? (comment added at Restoration Scoping Session) (0 individual/1 industry = 1 votes)
- → Minimum size issues (aquaculture)
- → Understand brood stock characteristics necessary to maintain stocks
- → Research to address whether working a shellfish area aerates the ground (research)
- → Market research for spider crabs (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Sparse science concerning spawner sanctuaries and brood stocks; enhancement? (research) (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)

- → Can't rely on natural recruitment; manage like running a farm (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)
- 4) There is a need to understand the ecosystem-wide interactions with shellfish management (1 industry votes for whole topic/ 14 individual/16 industry = 21 votes)
 - → Shellfish restoration for water quality purposes in enclosed waters (6 individual/7 industry = 13 votes)
 - **→ Management of Invasive Species** (3 individual/ 0 industry = 3 votes)
 - → Link the restoration of water quality to habitat restoration and how this will improve the industry; link water quality to restoration efforts 1st (0 individual/3 industry = 3 votes)
 - → Nitrogen reduction impacts on shellfish resources (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session) (1 individual/ 1 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Effects of ocean acidification (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session and before) (1 individual/1 industry = 2 votes)
 - → What is effective restoration? What are the numbers? (1 individual/1 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Perpetual management for ecological health and sustainability (0 individual/ 2 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Hydrodynamics part of siting spawner sanctuaries (1 individual/ 1 industry = 2 votes) Incorporation of hydrodynamic information research)
 - → Climate change (research) how is climate-based ocean acidification occurring, etc. (elaborated in at Restoration Scoping Session) (1 individual/0 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Ecological impacts from aquaculture (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
 - → Predator management i.e. abundance of sea stars affecting shellfish, spider crab abundance (after lobster decline?)
 - → Predation can we use predator control to increase clam numbers? (research)
- 5) There is a need to identify and minimize various risks to shellfish resources and mitigate those risks(2 individual/ 4 industry = 6 votes)
 - → Management of closures due to human health risks (research) (1 individual/2 industry = 3 votes)
 - **→ Upwellers in prohibited waters** (1 individual/ 0 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Water quality in enclosed waters (ex. Narragansett Bay) (0 individual/1 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Sanitation due to effects from birds, i.e. cormorants and Canadian Geese issue with water quality in Narrow River watershed (0 individual/ 1 industry = 1 votes)

- → Time/Temp *Vibrio* issues (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Consistency of shellfish reporting and transport requirements
- → Harmful algal blooms
- → Management of invasive species
- → Disease resistance (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Build the capacity of state agencies to minimize risk
- → Consumer education, esp. those who are vulnerable health-wise
- → Issues of transplants, contamination, temperature "abuse"
- → Harvest to plate temperature changes and effective cooling procedures (research)
- → Time releasing of effluents (research)
- → Dredging and marinas
- 6) There is a need to examine and determine effectiveness of existing policy and investigate alternative strategies for improved management (15 individual/ 19 industry = 34 votes)
 - → Enforcement funding? (5 individual/ 10 industry = 15 votes) Adequate enforcement (i.e. web cams, deputies, etc.) (1 individual/ 1 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Seeding and transplants MOU for seeding; how to maximize seeding biomass (3 individual/ 2 industry = 5 votes)
 - → Funding identify how plan can be funded (all issues need a sustainable funding source) (1 individual/1 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Organize existing Laws (1 individual/ 1 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Licensing issues cost, regulations, due date, capacity, exit/entry ratios, 'use it or lose it' (0 individual/ 2 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Identify spawner sanctuaries based on environmental characteristics (0 individual/ 2 industry = 2 votes)
 - → Possible explore creating new management structures (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session) (1 individual/ 0 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Role of restoration and enhancement vs. "put & take" (1 individual/0 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Treating aquaculture as agriculture Right to Farm (1 individual/ 0 industry = 1 votes)
 - → NSSP reasonable rules? with regard to restoration, ensure "best management" practices (elaborated on at Restoration Scoping Session) **Relationship between commercial harvesters** and NSSP (1 individual/0 industry = 1 votes)
 - → Use conflicts create use maps
 - → Sustainable funding source or disease monitoring survey work (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)

- → Aquaculture as agriculture clarify, define, Right to farm
- → Need a vision for where we want to be (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Nurseries/ Upwellers in prohibited waters
- → Limits of space for aquaculture (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Activities in conditional waters how to appropriately go about this (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Climate change and Sea Level Rise Anticipate changes to the environment
- → Recreational shellfishing Permanent closures, conflicts, restoration
- → Development of "economic development zones"
- → Division of shellfish management areas examine, re-evaluate
- → Further development of Spatial Tools EcoPath, EcoSpace, etc. (research)
- → Social carrying capacity (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Biological carrying capacity (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Organize existing laws
- → Consistency of health certifications
- → Treating aquaculture as agriculture, Right to Farm
- → Accessibility recreational and commercial access to fishing areas
- → Preserve working waterfront access marina's pushing out commercial boats (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)
- → Reclassify waters at federal level \$30M+ is coming from restricted waters (specific to CT) (elaborated on at Restoration Scoping Session)
- → Identify possible 'economic development zones'
- → Climate change (research)
- → Accessibility- most Right of Ways are gone public access issues make recreational harvest challenging
- → Fair dockage prices (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Identify opportunities for aquaculture with existing infrastructure public/private (issue identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Review and justify management area and/or pollution closures (i.e. Green Hill Pond, Watch Hill) (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session)
- → Fishermen input into management process, equal say, and continues into future management regulations and implementation (Issue identified at Wild Harvest Scoping Session, was discussed specific to conch fishery but applies across all species)
- → Climate change and Sea Level Rise Anticipate changes to the environment

- → Recreation shellfishing permanent closures, conflicts, restoration
- → Development of "economic development zones"
- → Division of Shellfish Management Areas examine, re-evaluate
- → Further development of Spatial Tools EcoPath, EcoSpace, etc (research)
- → Social carrying capacity (Issue Identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Biological carrying capacity (Issue Identified at Aquaculture Scoping Session)
- → Consistency of health certifications
- → Accessibility recreational and commercial access to fishing areas
- → How does NOAA MSP Initiative tie into what we're doing? RI is part of this process; citizens aren't informed but concerned (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)
- → Need to think outside management boxes give DEM tools to do more, manage independent of areas (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)
- → Define what species to restore and to what levels (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)
- → Think into the future of the SMP other prospective species? (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)
- → Oyster Gardening great initiative (Issue identified at Restoration Scoping Session)